

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/967,228	09/28/2001	Horacio E. Franco	SRI/4580-2	7137	
52197 75	90 04/27/2005	EXAMINER			
MOSER, PAT	TERSON & SHERID	MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS			
SRI INTERNA	TIONAL				
595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100			2655		
CHDEMCBIID	V NI 07702		•		

DATE MAILED: 04/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					\ \			
Office Action Summary		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/967,22	8	FRANCO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Susan Mo		2655				
- Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aport Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s			
THE - External control	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mail need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no even eply within the statu od will apply and will ute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tire story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed vs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicity (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	February 200	<u>05</u> .					
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is n	on-final.					
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8,10-13, and 17</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) <u>14-16</u> is/are objected to.							
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election re	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.						
-	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		objected to by the	Examiner.				
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	•	•	•				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is require	ed if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	Examiner. No	te the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52 .			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have bee	n received.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the principle application from the International Bure	iority docume	ents have been receive		је			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certit	fied copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmer	nt(s) :							
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 er No(s)/Mail Date		5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152	!)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -
 - (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 3. Claims 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,11, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Hunt "Automatic Identification of Spoken Names and Addresses" (cited by Applicant).
- 4. In regard to claims 1,3,4,5,6,7,8,10,11, and 17, Hunt show in Fig. 26, a speech recognition system and method comprising: acquiring a speech signal that represents an utterance (speech input), which can be a location or address (pg. 3), performing a first recognition pass by applying a first language model to the speech signal (acoustic decoder), selecting or generating a second language model based at least in part on results from the first recognition pass (linguistic decoder), wherein the first and second language models are statistical language models, which are constrained based on a result of the previous recognition pass (output constraints), on information regarding a linguistic structure of a domain within the speech signal, and on information regarding relationships among the domain components, objects or concepts within the speech

Application/Control Number: 09/967,228 Page 3

Art Unit: 2655

signal; and performing a second recognition pass by applying the second language model to at least a portion of the speech signal to recognize the utterance containing the speech signal (linguistic grammar).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 2,12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hunt "Automatic Identification of Spoken Names and Addresses" (cited by Applicant).

In regard to claim 2, Hunt shows the speech recognition system discussed above. Hunt do not specifically show that finite state grammars are used for the language models. The Examiner takes Official Notice that language models can be determined by the designer depending on the constraints he would like. Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add this feature because it provides the system with increased accuracy.

In regard to claim 12, Hunt shows the speech recognition system discussed above which shows that each address or location has a plurality of components (address on an envelope, pg 11).

In regard to claim 13, Hunt shows that the first recognition pass processes the speech signal using a first language model (acoustic model, Fig. 26).

Application/Control Number: 09/967,228

Art Unit: 2655

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

1. Claim 9 is allowable over the prior art of record.

2. Claims 14-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim,

but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of

the base claim and any intervening claims.

3. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject

matter: Hunt show the speech recognition method discussed above. In regard to claim

9, Hunt do not specifically show generating a language model that includes a subset of

the domain by using the result from the speech recognition pass to select information

from the database. In regard to claims 14-16, they do not specifically show that subsets

of the addresses can be determined.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-

7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Doris To can be reached on 703-305-4827. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number

Application/Control Number: 09/967,228

Art Unit: 2655

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2655

April 20, 2005